A Practical Approach to Assessing Nuclear Threats

International Atomic Energy Agency
Nuclear Security Symposium
31 March 2009

Roberta Warren, Chief
Intelligence Liaison and Threat Assessment Branch
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission



Introduction



- Need for a consistent and transparent approach to assessing nuclear threats
- > Process needs to already be in place
- > Requires multi-disciplined team approach
- >Ensures assessment is timely and effective

The Information Assessment Team



- > Focal point for assessing all reported threats
 - >A threat is defined as information explicit or implied that a malevolent act may be committed
- ➤ Available 24/7 through NRC Operations Center
- Consists of threat analysts, security specialists, and reactor, materials and cyber technical experts
- ➤ Coordinates outreach to licensees, law enforcement and other government agencies
- > Provides recommendations for follow-on actions

Handbook of Potential Scenarios



- ➤ Handbook developed to facilitate discussions and guide potential actions
- >General categories of scenarios include
 - ➤ Suspected tampering, vandalism, sabotage
 - ➤ Suspected or actual intrusion
 - ➤ Nuclear extortion threat
 - ➤ Suspected theft of Special Nuclear Material
 - **≻**Bomb threat

Handbook of Potential Scenarios



- >General categories of scenarios include
 - ➤ Suspected Arson
 - ➤ Radiological Dispersal Device Threat
 - ➤ Radioactive Contamination threat
 - ➤ Non-specific threats
 - ➤ Computer System or cyber threat

Handbook of Potential Scenarios



- Initial assessment evaluates from safety, operational, security and investigative perspective
- ➤ Goal to identify any immediate threat to safe operation
- ➤ Identify underlying security issues
- Primary responsibilities for response belong to licensee and law enforcement
- >NRC provides oversight of licensee actions

Database Development



- ➤ Post 9/11 password-protected database developed to record actions regarding threats and security events
- > Available to licensees and appropriate government agencies
- > Used for development of trend reports
- Feeds into National-level evaluations of threats to all elements of critical infrastructure

Conclusion



- ➤ IAT process has proven to be an effective process for quickly assessing threats
- Can be adapted to member state's regulatory, legal and organizational structure
- ➤ Key element is having process in place
- ➤ Should have small group of experts available 24/7
- ➤ Look at safety as well as security concerns
- Enhances information-sharing between regulatory authority and other key elements of government



Contact Information:

Roberta Warren, Chief
Intelligence Liaison and Threat Assessment Branch
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Roberta.Warren@nrc.gov